

# Project: iSafetyApp “Teaching Students Internet Safety Through an Artificial Intelligence Mobile Application”

No. 2020-1-PL01-KA226-SCH-096462

## Periodic report on the quality and evaluation of the overall performance of the project consortium

Evaluated period 2: 30.06.2022 - 29.06.2023

## I. Content

1. INTRODUCTION.....	2
2. IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT RESULTS.....	3
2.1 IO1 Please assess the following factors using the scale: 5 excellent – 1: unsatisfactory, Or n/a (meaning: not applicable at this stage).....	3
2.2 IO2 Please assess the following factors using the scale: 5 excellent – 1: unsatisfactory, Or n/a (meaning: not applicable at this stage).....	4
2.5. IO3 Please assess the following factors using the scale: 5 excellent – 1: unsatisfactory, or n/a (meaning: not applicable at this stage).....	5
3. RECOMMENDATIONS - Project Results .....	5
3.1. STRENGTHS .....	5
3.2. OPPORTUNITIES .....	6
3.3. ASPIRATIONS.....	6
4. TEAMWORK .....	7
5. PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND ORGANISATION OF WORKS .....	9

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this report is to present the process of continuous evaluation of the iSafetyApp project "Teaching Students Internet Safety Through an Artificial Intelligence Mobile Application" to make sure that the project actually met the objectives initially set and that the expected results were achieved.

All partners were asked to answer each question and to justify a given answer in the comment box. It was also a great help in preparing the report to be communicative and precise and to give specific examples where possible.

The data collected in the report covers the period 30.06.2022 - 29.06.2023. The survey was completed by 1 representative of each partner - a member of the project implementation team.

Below is a report summarizing all responses.



**IX Liceum Ogólnokształcące**  
im. Kazimierza Jagiełłończyka  
w Toruniu




## 2. IMPLEMENTATION OF PROJECT RESULTS

This section is about assessment of the implementation of each project output according to the project expectations and to the stage of the implementation.

### 2.1 IO1 Please assess the following factors using the scale: 5 excellent – 1: unsatisfactory, 0r n/a (meaing: not applicable at this stage)

Q1.1 IO1 Please assess the following factors using the scale: 5 excellent – 1: unsatisfactory, 0r n/a (meaing: not applicable at this stage)



For the Project Result 1 partners were asked to assess the following aspects:

- Clear division of tasks among partners by PR leader
- Understanding of the tasks by partners
- Clear deadlines settled by PR leader
- Quality of cooperation among partners
- Timely delivery of the partners' contribution
- Achievement of the PR goals
- Usefulness of the online meetings related to PR1


Most of the partners indicated that tasks were clearly divided between them, so that their expectations were met. Also issues such as understanding of the tasks, deadlines were well assessed positively. There was some concerns with the cooperation and timely delivery of the partners' contribution, but goals of the PR1 and its usefulness were achieved.

Partners added below comments:

- The original version of the report required corrections, but they were implemented efficiently.
- thanks to setting the clear deadlines, all partners knew what to do; IO1 works were accomplished on time
- n/a
- no comment

## 2.2 IO2 Please assess the following factors using the scale: 5 excellent – 1: unsatisfactory, 0r n/a (meaing: not applicable at this stage)

Q1.3 IO2 Please assess the following factors using the scale: 5 excellent – 1: unsatisfactory, 0r n/a (meaing: not applicable at this stage)



For the Project Result 2 partners were asked to assess the following aspects:

- Clear division of tasks among partners by PR leader
- Understanding of the tasks by partners
- Clear deadlines settled by PR leader
- Quality of cooperation among partners
- Timely delivery of the partners' contribution
- Achievement of the PR goals
- Usefulness of the online meetings related to PR2

This time consortium had different views about delivering PR2. Majority answered that implementation of this task was excellent, just one partner said it exceeded his/her expectation. Most partner agree that the quality of the delivered result met their expectations, however some of them answered that the delivery was below their expectations due to the delay with developement of the app. It shows that consortium need to be more specific about this particular topic and work out better collaboration.


The partners gave comments according to the implementation of IO2.

- The developed application requires corrections.
- n/a
- no comment
- There were slight delays with the preparation of the game app, however online and stationary meetings helped to fasten the process and to express the all partners expectations



## 2.5. IO3 Please assess the following factors using the scale: 5 excellent – 1: unsatisfactory, or n/a (meaning: not applicable at this stage)

Q1.5 IO3 Please assess the following factors using the scale: 5 excellent – 1: unsatisfactory, 0r n/a (meaning: not applicable at this stage)



For the Project Result 3 partners were asked to assess the following aspects:

- Clear division of tasks among partners by PR leader
- Understanding of the tasks by partners
- Clear deadlines settled by PR leader
- Quality of cooperation among partners
- Timely delivery of the partners' contribution
- Achievement of the PR goals
- Usefulness of the online meetings related to PR3

For this time of implementation of PR3, partners indicated that their expectations were exceeded/excellent. One partner stated that the quality of the cooperation and the delivery of partner's contribution met his expectations

The partners gave comments according to the implementation of IO3

- The IO3 results represent an adequate level, although they still need some final fine-tuning.
- n/a
- no comment
- no comments

## 3. RECOMMENDATIONS - Project Results

### 3.1. STRENGTHS

- Project team experience.
- n/a
- no comment
- cross cultural perspective



### 3.2. OPPORTUNITIES

- Opportunity to achieve good results.
- n/a
- no comment
- easy to reach target groups

### 3.3. ASPIRATIONS

- Implementation of all results at the highest level.
- n/a
- no comment
- a serious game used during classes with students


### 3.4. RESULTS

- Realizacja wszystkich zadań zapisanych we wniosku projektowym. / *Footnote: Completion of all tasks written in the project proposal.*
- n/a
- no comment
- Great base with educational materials for teachers, parents and students



### 3.5. Please, assess the importance which should be given to the above mentioned SOAR dimensions during future project realisation

Q2.5 Please, assess the importance which should be given to the above mentioned SOAR dimensions during future project realisation.



Partners also assessed the importance of chances and risks as shown above:

- Chances in the present
- Chances in the future
- Risks in the present
- Risks in the future

The above chart shows that partnership most valuable part are chances and risks in the present, the rest is on almost equal level of importance. Partners do not attach much weight to the risk in the future though.

There were no additional comments.


## 4. TEAMWORK

This section evaluates the cooperation between partners and the issues that arised during project implementation.

4.1. Please assess the following elements using the Likert scale: 5 - always- 1 - never. How regularly did you feel

Q3.1 Please assess the following elements using the Likert scale: 5 - always- 1 - never.

How regularly did you feel:



The partners were asked how do they feel about the teamwork:

- A sense of security - feeling safe to speak up and have my voice heard
- A sense of belonging - feel as if I am part of a team
- A sense of purpose - feel as if I understand my goal in this work
- A sense of continuity - feel as if my past experience is being used appropriately in this work
- A sense of achievement - feel as if I am contributing something useful to the programme and this is being recognised and valued
- A sense of significance - feel as if my contribution matters

All of the partners always feel secure and they view the cooperation as good one, teamwork is on a good level, all partners contributes to the outcome. Some partners answered that they felt sense of achievement, belonging and of significance only sometimes. One partner expressed that he/she feels the burden of the responsibility for the tasks entrusted in him/her.

Additional comments and remarks were:

- I feel the burden of responsibility for the tasks entrusted to me.
- n/a
- no comment
- the teamwork was smooth, all partners were friendly and engaged




## 5. PROJECT MANAGEMENT AND ORGANISATION OF WORKS

Evaluation of the project activities delivered so far taking into account outputs performance as well as activities related to the process of project management. Please assess each part using the scale: 5 excellent - 1 - not satisfactory

### 5.1. PROJECT ACTIVITIES

Q4.1: PROJECT ACTIVITIES




Evaluation of the project activities was based on following questions:

- The activities were adequate to the general aims
- The activities were adequate to the partners' expertise
- The activities were adequate to the competences of staff members
- Tools were adequate to the type of activities performed
- Project leader supported the general implementation of all project activities
- Tasks leaders supported the implementation of the specific parts of works

Majority of answers were positive and varied from excellent to meets expectations.

### 5.2. COMMUNICATION

Q4.2: COMMUNICATION




Evaluation of the project communication was based on following questions:

- Communication with leader of the project
- Communication among partners
- Cooperation among partners
- Interaction among staff members
- Relationship among partners
- Effectiveness of the communication

Chart shows that consortium communicates well, with the great help of the leader of the project. The consortium could work more on the effectiveness of the communication.

## 5.3. TIME MANAGEMENT

### Q4.3 TIME MANAGEMENT




Time management was assessed against the following criteria:

- Timeplan of the the specific activities was reasonable
- Established deadlines were possible to meet
- Works related to the Project Results were delivered according to deadlines
- Cooperation and corrective measures were implemented to overcome delays (if any)

All partners said that project is well managed according to the deadlines, cooperation and timeplan to specific activities. Two partners gave a slightly lower note to the works related to the Project Results were delivered according to deadlines, however it still met their expectations.

## 5.4. PROJECT MEETINGS (online meetings and face to face meetings)

### Q4.4 PROJECT MEETINGS (online meetings and face to face meetings)



Partners also assessed project meetings:

- Project meetings were appropriately contributed to the progress of the project
- Project meetings were effective
- Project meetings supported the implementation if the project results

The consortium agreed that any form of meeting works well due to achievement of project goals.

The additional comments were neither positive nor negative:

- -
- n/a
- no comment
- all good